

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,689	03/27/2006	Makoto Sasaki	L9289.06125	7145
52989 7590 08/30/2007 STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, LLP 1615 L. STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, RYAN	
	SUITE 850 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/573,689	SASAKI, MAKOTO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan J. Johnson	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_ <del>-</del>					
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	- action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine of the specification is objected to by the Examine of the specification of the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request the s	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/27/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/573,689

Art Unit: 2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. No copies of JP2002050979 or JP04249920 listed on the IDS filed 3/27/2006 were received. However, the examiner was able to obtain abstracts of both references and both references were considered.

## Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is longer than 15 lines and 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vilhonen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,972,633, hereinafter Vilhonen) in view of Chengson (U.S. Patent No. 6,538,518).
- 6. Claim 1: Vilhonen discloses a PLL frequency synthesizer (Fig.1) comprising: a loop filter with different cutoff frequencies (loop filter 23 has different cutoff frequencies depending on the tuned capacitance of C2 and C3);

Art Unit: 2817

an oscillation section (24) that generates a frequency signal corresponding to a voltage output from the loop filter (col.3,36-47);

a variable frequency fluctuation component elimination circuit (4) that is provided between said oscillation section and an oscillation signal output terminal (since the filter is a base-band filter of the transmitter chain; col.2,65-67), it must inherently be located between the VCO of the PLL, 24, and the oscillation signal output terminal, the output of the baseband filter) and that eliminates a frequency fluctuation component that varies for the loop filter (a filter inherently eliminates a frequency fluctuation component based on its cutoff frequency determined by the variable capacitance within the filter. This frequency fluctuation varies depending on the frequency of the signal and the cutoff frequency of both filters).

a control section (3) that performs control of said frequency fluctuation component elimination circuit in accordance with changing of the loop filter (the calibrating system changes both the loop filter capacitance values as well as the baseband filter capacitance values in accordance with a common temperature condition; col.3,56-col.4,20).

Vilhonen discloses the variable capacitors in the loop filter. Vilhonen does not explicitly disclose that the loop filter contains a plurality of switched looped filters.

Chengson discloses selecting from a plurality of loop filters (202,203). Choosing a multiple switched loop filter system over a single variable loop filter system is a mere design choice, as either system performs the identical function of adjusting the filter time constant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/573,689

Art Unit: 2817

time the invention was made to have used multiple switching loop filters as disclosed by Chengson in the system of Vilhonen in order to have provided an obvious design choice alternative to the system of Vilhonen.

Page 4

- 7. Claim 2: Vilhonen discloses that the frequency fluctuation component elimination circuit comprises a variable capacitance capacitor (C4) whereby self-resonance is performed with different frequency fluctuation components (the cutoff frequency changes depending on the calibrating component 3, thus the filter performs its function on different frequency components depending on the calibration value).
- 8. Claim 5: Vilhonen discloses that the PLL is used in a radio apparatus (col.2,59-64).
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vilhonen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,972,633, hereinafter Vilhonen) in view of Chengson (U.S. Patent No. 6,538,518) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Csicsatka (U.S. Patent No. 3,934,092). Vilhonen and Chengson disclose the limitations of claim 1. Vilhonen also discloses that the filtering is performed on different frequency fluctuation components (depending on the changes in capacitance by the calibration component). Vilhonen and Chengson do not explicitly disclose that the frequency fluctuation component elimination circuit comprises a resonance circuit. Csicsatka discloses using a resonance circuit that acts as a band-pass filter (Fig.10) in a transceiver device. It is well known in the art that using inductors and capacitors a band-pass filter provides a filter with a higher Q factor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/573,689

Art Unit: 2817

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the band-pass filter as disclosed by Csicsatka as the baseband filter in the circuit of Vilhonen in order to have provided a well-known band-pass filter with a higher Q factor.

Page 5

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vilhonen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,972,633, hereinafter Vilhonen) in view of Chengson (U.S. Patent No. 6.538,518) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ohira (U.S. Patent No. 6,252,468). Vilhonen and Chengson disclose the limitations of claim 1, but do not explicitly disclose resistors provided between the oscillation section and the junction point, on the feedback signal line, or on the output line. Ohira discloses using three resistors output from a VCO in a PLL in a similar attenuating circuit (6 of Figure 2) in order prevent mixers in a receiver from being affected by the output impedance of the VCO (col.1.53-67). Although Ohira lacks a resistor on the feedback signal line, the overall functionality of the circuit remains the same and using a resistor on the feedback line rather than a resistor connected to ground, as disclosed by Ohira, is a mere manner of design choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used three resistors as an attenuating circuit, as disclosed by Ohira, in the transceiver of Vilhonen in order to have prevented mixers in a transceiver being affect by the output impedance of the VCO.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2817

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 11. applicant's disclosure. Lu (U.S. Patent No. 6,538,499) and Nakatani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,441,692) disclose output band-pass filters in a PLL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan J. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1009.

/RJJ/

**Technology Center 2800**